

To: Governor Commonwealth of Virginia

From: Anita Bekono

Subject: Overview and Recommendations on privacy and Data Protection

Date: 03/16/2024

Privacy is a fundamental right that includes an individual's ability to regulate their personal information and retain autonomy over their data. In the digital age, where information is continually collected, analyzed, and shared, privacy takes on new meaning. Concerns about personal information/data protection stem from the potential of sensitive data being accessed, used, or disclosed without authorization. This includes personally identifiable information (PII) such as names, addresses, social security numbers, and financial records, as well as biometric information such as fingerprints, iris scans, and facial recognition patterns. Individuals without proper protection are subject to a variety of risks, including identity theft, financial fraud, repeated harm, and intrusive surveillance.

Protection of personal information/data is crucial for several reasons. First and foremost, it safeguards individuals' autonomy and dignity by ensuring their right to privacy. Mishandling or misusing personal data can lead to a loss of trust between individuals and organizations, jeopardizing relationships and financial stability. Furthermore, privacy protection is crucial for preserving democratic standards since it prevents abuse of power while encouraging transparency and accountability in governance and corporate processes. Without sufficient privacy safeguards, citizens may be hesitant to engage in online activities, stifling innovation and hampering economic growth.

The General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) is a historic privacy law created by the European Union (EU) to meet the difficulties presented by the digital age. The GDPR, which applies to all companies that process personal data of EU residents, regardless of location, seeks to standardize data protection regulations across EU member states and increase individuals' rights over their data. The GDPR outlines several key principles, including transparency, purpose limitation, data minimization, accuracy, storage limitation, integrity, and secrecy. The rule also gives persons access, rectification, erasure, and the ability to object to processing. Furthermore, the GDPR places strict obligations on enterprises, such as mandatory data breach reporting, privacy by design and default, and substantial fines for noncompliance. By establishing strong requirements for data protection and privacy, the GDPR affected worldwide privacy norms and spurred similar laws in other countries.

Several states in the United States have taken aggressive steps to preserve privacy in the lack of comprehensive federal legislation. For example, in 2018, California passed the California Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA), which grants residents rights over their personal data while putting requirements on firms that gather or sell such data. The CCPA mandates firms to disclose their data practices, let customers to opt out of data sales, and give procedures for data access

and deletion. Similarly, states such as Nevada and Illinois have enacted their own privacy laws to address specific issues such as online tracking and biometric data security. These state initiatives reflect the growing acknowledgment of privacy as a fundamental right, as well as the necessity for regulatory frameworks to protect personal data in the digital era.

Governor Tar-Míriel should prioritize establishing a comprehensive personal information/data privacy law in Virginia to answer citizens' urgent concerns. While federal legislation could establish national standards and unify privacy practices, state-level action provides the ability to adjust restrictions to local requirements and preferences. By passing strong privacy legislation, Virginia may demonstrate its commitment to preserving individuals' rights and promoting trust in government and commercial sectors. Furthermore, state-level measures can act as a catalyst for federal action, influencing the evolution of national privacy laws. However, it is critical to acknowledge the possible issues of state-level regulation, such as compliance burdens for enterprises operating in various jurisdictions and the risk of establishing a fragmented regulatory environment. Governor Tar-Míriel should work with other states to establish comparable privacy standards and encourage interoperability of state laws. Working together, states can use their pooled experience and resources to create effective privacy rules that protect individuals' rights while encouraging innovation and economic progress.

In conclusion, establishing a personal information/data protection law in Virginia is critical for addressing individuals' privacy concerns and upholding fundamental rights in the digital era. By proactively protecting privacy, Governor Tar-Míriel may promote transparency, accountability, and trust in government and business operations. Furthermore, state-level action can help to achieve the larger aim of implementing comprehensive privacy legislation at the federal level, ensuring consistent protection for individuals' data across the United States.

## References

Solove, D. J. (2006). A taxonomy of privacy. University of Pennsylvania Law Review, 154(3), 477-564.

European Union. (2016). General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR).

California Legislative Information. (2018). California Consumer Privacy Act of 2018.

National Conference of State Legislatures. (n.d.). State Privacy Legislation.

Information Accountability Foundation. (2020). State Privacy Legislation Tracker.

Federal Trade Commission. (n.d.). Health Information Privacy.