Based on the data breach notification letter regarding a security incident at glasswasherparts.com, here is how two economics theories and two social sciences theories relate:

Economics Theory #1 - Agency Theory: This data breach highlights issues of agency between the company (principal) and third-party platform provider (agent). Conflicting goals arose as the provider did not adequately safeguard customer data from intruders, harming the principal's reputation/liability despite being responsible for secure operations.

Economics Theory #2 - Asymmetric Information: Customers (principals) lacked the same access to information about security safeguards and deficiencies as the provider/company (agents) prior to the breach. This created an asymmetry that prevented principals from fully evaluating risks, incentivizing agents to withhold some details that could damage business/sales.

Social Sciences Theory #1 - Durkheim's Anomie Theory: The letter stresses restoring order/social control over personal information through credit monitoring and contacting authorities. This reflects societal regulation re-establishing normalcy during a period of normlessness caused by the cybercriminal intrusion and associated anomic strain.

Social Sciences Theory #2 - General Strain Theory: Customers likely experienced various negative emotions as a strain response to learning their data had been compromised through no personal fault. The notification aims to mitigate this strain and its unhealthy outputs (e.g. distress, identity theft symptoms) by providing guidance and recourse towards a legitimate coping mechanism.

Together these theories offer lenses for understanding the broader impacts and underlying societal/economic dynamics surrounding such incidents beyond only technical aspects. They can aid analyzing both impacts experienced as well as measures taken in response.