

Article review 1

Audrey Gyane

Old Dominion University

Cybersecurity and Social Science 201s

Professor Matthew Umphlet

Mar 29, 2024

In this article the principle that best fits the article is objectivity. This word is best described because it evaluates several different rules, in the passage it shows an overview of laws related to the internet trolls that come from different places. The hypothesis seems to be “to what extent do regulatory provisions for cyber defamation differ among Indonesia, Malaysia, and South Korea, and is there any potential for legislative reformation regarding cyber defamation laws in these countries?” The use of standard legal research methods to see another set of data through a document or library research that was addressed. It also says that the data was analyzed using logical, legislative and related ideas. They used charts to divide subject elements and objective elements. It reveals that there are particular difficulties that these nations' marginalized groups suffer as a result of online misinformation. It examines issues including the wrong information being put out, the challenges these groups face, and hate speech. By being aware of these relationships, we can strengthen the protection that marginalized people receive when using the internet and increase their influence on movements for internet freedom and online safety. Furthermore, normative law study, studying libraries, documentation search, and abstract mandatory, and documentation search, and abstract mandatory approaches are among the research ideas used in this work

Citation

Cybercrimejournal. (n.d.).

<https://cybercrimejournal.com/menuscript/index.php/cybercrimejournal/article/download/7>

5/15