Aiming for the Stars: An Interdisciplinary Study

Danny Choi

Old Dominion University

Dr. MaryAnn Kozlowski

IDS 300W

04/28/2025

Abstract

As the environmental issues of Earth intensify, the colonization of Mars has become a potential future venture. This study adopts an interdisciplinary approach, basing its arguments on psychology, physics, and geography, and addresses the issues of sustaining human existence on Mars. Psychological robustness is necessary to cope with isolation and stress within an enclosed system, while physics reinforces technologies for radiation protection, energy provision, and transportation. Geography reinforces optimal location choice, resource management, and Martian surface environmental risk management. Synthesis of the disciplines enables an integrated approach addressing not just survival but also human adaptability and health. The study emphasizes that single-discipline solutions are not adequate for such a multifaceted challenge. Future Mars missions must institutionalize interdisciplinary cooperation to succeed. Colonizing Mars, therefore, becomes not just a technological challenge, but a basic test of human resiliency.

Interdisciplinary Studies colonizing Mars

Introduction

Earth has been experiencing unprecedented environmental catastrophes, ranging from climate change, loss of biodiversity, and depletion of natural resources. Humanity finds itself today at an impasse, speeding towards a future in which the long-term viability of the Earth becomes increasingly uncertain. Against such mounting instability, scientific and philosophical speculation has moved its gaze to the stars, with Mars as the future potential home for humanity. As the degradation of the Earth continues at an alarming rate, a simultaneous necessity emerges to locate alternatives for humanity's survival, not merely in terms of technological innovation but with an integrated conceptualization of humankind's resilience. **If Earth is losing sustainability, then Mars is the next frontier**.

An interdisciplinary analysis is required as transporting humans to another planet cannot be approached in a manner pertinent to one field of discipline. Psychology provides insight into human behavior, mental challenges, and interaction within a constrained and hostile environment. Physics provides a theoretical and logical foundation for overcoming the harsh Martian environment through technological advancements. Geography offers significant information on physical landmarks on Mars, resource availability, and environmental risks, allowing for smarter site selection and safeguards against environmental factors. Interdisciplinary research, therefore, gives a level playing field where these seemingly unrelated fields converge to develop an integrated, effective plan for the colonization of Mars, unrestricted by the perspective of one single discipline.

Psychology Perspective

In the colonization of Mars debate, psychological research on human behavior in solitude and hostile environments is an important talking point. Unlike short-term spaceflight in low Earth orbit, long-term Martian residence would entail extreme psychological pressures

of unprecedented isolation, confinement, boredom, and time-delayed communication with Earth. The anticipated psychological problems in Martian colonies reflect and exaggerate the issues found in analogous settings such as Antarctic science stations, submarines, and extended-duration spaceflight on the International Space Station (Pagnini, 2024). Humans have evolved to adapt to the social, interactive lifestyle on Earth, but life in a Martian colonization would force the human mind to extreme stressors such as confinement and solitude.

Prolonged isolation has been linked to several cognitive and behavioral abnormalities. Pessimistic thinking, anxiety, mood swings, and cognitive impairment characterize syndromes that follow long-term confinement and social isolation. In addition, there are questions about the lack of varied topography and climate, vegetation, and animals that, combined with sensory deprivation, exacerbate the impact on mental state (Arone et al., 2021). This could raise more challenges if the colony is restricted to a stationary habitat with artificial light, mundane surroundings, and a lack of sociability; factors that pose a high risk of negative effects on mental health that could ultimately risk the success of the mission.

Other psychological factors come from the dynamics of these isolated, enclosed communities. Political feuds, leadership disagreements, or relational tensions worsen as people find themselves confined to an environment with limited space and change. Psychological resilience can then be seen not merely as an individual characteristic but as a requirement for society. Therefore, the process of psycho-resilience, adaptability, and sociability for the colonists is necessary, especially in this kind of environment. Furthermore, pre-mission psychosocial interventions that help people develop coping skills, manage their emotions, and solve interpersonal conflicts will be instrumental in building Martians' psychological resilience (Pagnini, 2024). Psychological services should also be a part of the mission support system, which entails having professional physicians and therapists as well as organized stress-relieving activities to promote team spirit.

Psychological theories must be applied to consider the mental health of humans for a Mars colonization, which completely isolates people from Earth, and makes people question their identity. They are all psychologically dependent on the stress-coping-stress cycle moderation and the narratives that might be constructed about the meaning of existence in this foreign land (Arone et al., 2021).

Physics Perspective

The possibility of humans establishing a colony on the Red Planet is closely linked with the potential of overcoming vast physical odds, as explained by physics principles and techniques. Mars is comparatively unfriendly, with more challenging conditions for the human body, including less pressure, higher radical intensity, frequent changes in temperature, and even lower gravity (Pombo, 2021). These elements require proper physics in fields from material science to propulsion and energy production.

The biggest threat to human colonization of Mars is radiation exposure. Mars does not have an ozone layer like Earth, which provides protection from radiation, like solar. Long-term exposure was found to significantly increase the colonists' risk for cancer, cardiovascular disease, and neurodegenerative disease (Marcin Tomsia et al., 2024). Protection from radiation exposure is crucial and a necessity. Research on radiation resistance, which ranges from protective layering, electromagnetic shielding, and utilization of underground environments, all encompass Physics.

The issue of harvesting energy sources on Mars also requires the application of Physics. Due to Mars' gravitational rotation around the sun and the common occurrence of dust storms, powering everything with solar power is likely. Other technologies, such as small nuclear reactors, provide a constant and continuous energy source for life support systems, manufacturing, and agriculture. Thermodynamics, reactor design, and radiation protection—essentially, physics—all constitute the foundation of the creation of these technologies.

Transportation between Mars and Earth is also a practical use of physics. Rocket technology is advanced, but it comes with risk and lacks innovation. The propulsion system is still lacking the technology to reduce travel duration, improve fuel efficiency, as well as provide safety. Concepts such as nuclear thermal propulsion and ion drives, both grounded in the physics of thermodynamics and plasma physics, can have the potential to revolutionize interplanetary flight, reduce travel time, and provide new mission opportunities (Pombo, 2021). Furthermore, the ability of rocket technology to descend and ascend, which is known as the Physics of aerobraking, into Mars' unique atmosphere provides another atmospheric obstacle for current technologies.

Geography Perspective

Geography, as a scientific discipline, can help perceive colonization by offering an understanding of the physical characteristics, resource distribution, and spatial hazards on Mars. Despite their seeming lack of fertility, the red planet's geographical characteristics offer prospects and challenges concerning the strategic planning of settlements, sources of raw materials, and sustainability.

The first and fundamental task that geography achieves is site selection. Mars is a hostile environment with large temperature swings, massive dust storms, and a lack of water availability, primarily in underground ice or brine, which are present only in particular seasons. Geographic analysis focuses on areas where resources, climate, and other conditions favor humanity. Polar regions have a large portion of water ice raw materials that can be used for drinking water, oxygen generation, and agriculture (Florian Neukart, 2024). However, the winters on Mars are significantly longer and colder than Earth. Equatorial conditions offer more stable temperatures with abundant sunlight, but the problem is the availability of freshwater sources. Balancing these geographic trade-offs is becoming crucial in selecting a site.

In addition, the Martian surface can boast a vast array of geologic structures that are ridiculous in size for any planet. Flat areas like Tharsis, long canyons including Valles Marineris, and impact craters like Gale Crater are diverse terrains that come with their benefits and drawbacks (Changela et al., 2021). Perhaps protection from radiation and dust storms will also be possible, using natural shelters like the lava tubes, which are abandoned tubes created by volcanic activity, that can shelter humans from potential dangers.

The risk of Mar's unique environment provides catastrophic implications for human settlements. Mars has storms that can last weeks, which could render solar power supplies inoperable. Moreover, electrostatically airborne fine dust is detrimental to human health and equipment, which makes site selection ever more challenging, as avoiding those areas is a necessity. Resource geography further encompasses mineralogical blends essential for in-situ resource utilization (ISRU). Silicon, sulfur, iron, and others are common in Martian regolith and can be processed and manufactured to provide building materials, energy, and high-priority manufacturing feedstocks (Florian Neukart, 2024). Understanding their existence and distribution is sufficient to support self-sustaining colonies with the occasional resupply from Earth.

Geography provides us with not just a picture of Mars' landscape, but a better understanding of a strategic picture crucial for the survival of humanity on Mars. It allows for the ever-so distant landscape to be somewhat familiar and obtainable, providing key elements for colonization on Mars.

Application and Synthesis of Interdisciplinary Perspectives

Colonizing Mars utilized psychology, physics, and geography instead of being limited to one discipline. All three disciplines have vital data and methodology to provide, but where they overlap creates a good, functional framework for building a sustainable human presence on Mars.

Physics provides the technological background to survive in Mars' hostile environment and allows for the understanding of the different temperatures, radiation, atmospheric pressure, and energy production. However, human survivability on Mars isn't limited to just technology. Psychological resilience must be engineered into the design and operation of such technology.

Geography prescribes the locations and adjustments of those physical and psychological systems. Settlements must be where physical protection naturally occurs or can be economically constructed. Geographic requirements for varied topography and access to resources supplement psychological requirements for exposure to varied environments and outside activity of significance (Changela et al., 2021). A lava tube settlement, for example, protects against radiation and provides access to exploration, fulfilling survival and psychological desires.

The convergence of these sciences is most aligned when confronted with environmental danger. Dangers such as energy depletion, dust penetration, and isolation are multidimensional afflictions that a single discipline cannot address. Physics defines the hazards and designs technological remedies; geography maps terrain where danger is minimized or encapsulated; psychology ensures that human adaptive potential is pitted against the environment and technology. The success of a Martian colony, that is, depends upon the convergence of these diverse fields into a holistic, evolving system.

Future mission planning must, therefore, institutionalize interdisciplinarity across all levels of design through the operation of the missions. Interdisciplinary research teams, cross-mission training of experts, and integration of multidisciplinary courses in astronaut training programs are some of the steps to achieve this goal. By establishing a relationship and common agenda between physics, psychology, and geography, humankind can overcome the seemingly impossible obstacle to colonize Mars and accomplish wonders.

Conclusion

The environmental crisis on Earth requires a drastic and seemingly impossible solution to the problem of saving humanity, and Mars is the most probable frontier. However, the seemingly impossible difficulties of colonizing Mars can't be solved by taking a single-discipline approach. Psychology offers the methodology of keeping the human mind from going insane; physics provides the technologies for maintaining human life; and geography offers the knowledge of adaptability to an extraterrestrial environment. Humanity can only hope to transport its civilization to the stars through these sciences' precise and daunting synthesis. The findings of this interdisciplinary study are that Mars colonization is technologically feasible but would require the maintenance of environmental, technical, and human factors. In the future, missions must take an interdisciplinary approach for the highest likelihood of success and survivability. The challenge of colonizing Mars shouldn’t be from the perspective of a single discipline or problem, but as an opportunity to incorporate the different sciences, like social and physical. Mars is not merely just a destination, but is potentially a future settlement for humanity. Earth is at a critical impasse, and the need for an alternative is more crucial than ever before.

References

Arone, A., Ivaldi, T., Loganovsky, K., Palermo, S., Parra, E., Flamini, W., & Marazziti, D. (2021). The Burden of Space Exploration on the Mental Health of Astronauts: A Narrative Review. *Clinical Neuropsychiatry*, *18*(5), 237–246. https://doi.org/10.36131/cnfioritieditore20210502

Changela, H. G., Chatzitheodoridis, E., Antunes, A., Beaty, D., Bouw, K., Bridges, J. C., Capova, K. A., Cockell, C. S., Conley, C. A., Dadachova, E., Dallas, T. D., Mey, S. de, Dong, C., Ellery, A., Ferus, M., Foing, B., Fu, X., Fujita, K., Lin, Y., & Jheeta, S. (2021). Mars: new insights and unresolved questions. *International Journal of Astrobiology*, *20*(6), 394–426. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1473550421000276

Florian Neukart. (2024). Towards Sustainable Horizons: a Comprehensive Blueprint for Mars Colonization. *Heliyon*, *10*(4), e26180–e26180. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e26180

Marcin Tomsia, Cieśla, J., Śmieszek, J., Florek, S., Agata Macionga, Michalczyk, K., & Stygar, D. (2024). Long-term space missions' effects on the human organism: what we do know and what requires further research. *Frontiers in Physiology*, *15*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2024.1284644

Pagnini, F. (2024). Supporting the Mind in Space: Psychological Tools for Long-Duration Missions (Preprint). *Interactive Journal of Medical Research*, *13*, e66626–e66626. https://doi.org/10.2196/66626

Pombo, D. V. (2021). A Hybrid Power System for a Permanent Colony on Mars. *Space: Science & Technology*, *2021*, 1–16. https://doi.org/10.34133/2021/9820546

Extra Credit

If I had to write this paper again, I would have utilized my resources better. I felt like my topic was a bit too theoretical, as traveling to Mars is currently not feasible, so it was challenging to find sources, specifically disciplines, that pertained to my topic. I should have selected a more grounded topic.

In terms of research, I didn't encounter too many issues, as Google Scholar and the ODU database were very useful. I switched my sources around quite often, as I kept finding better ones, but if I had to do it again, I would start by meeting with an ODU librarian and scheduling a session with them. It's been a while since I've written a research paper, so this was a lot of trial and error.

I learned that it helps to find concrete sources in the beginning and to pick and stick with your disciplines. This goes back to my topic, as I was switching my sources and disciplines quite often until I managed to find three that I felt comfortable with.

I thought my writing process was solid, but like many others, I struggled with procrastination. I like to take breaks during my writing to give my brain a "refresh" and tackle the paper from a different perspective, but those refresh breaks sometimes take a lot longer than anticipated. I realized that these "breaks" were messing with the flow of my writing, and I need to learn to manage my time.

It also helped to have a visual argument created. I thought Assignment #4 was very helpful in allowing me to narrow down my disciplines and clarify the angle I wanted to take on my paper. If I had to do this again, I would create an outline much sooner than I did this time and would make sure to consistently stick to my disciplines. I think I switched disciplines for almost every assignment until the visual assignment allowed me to see the paper from a perspective that felt fitting.