Comments to the Peer Review Comments and Instructor Comments

Devon Moore: The peer review and instructor comments were very helpful in making our report our best work. The biggest change that was made was putting the draft into the proper format to satisfy the content rubric. This is a step I overlooked when putting the draft together and was an easy way to get a lot of points back. Another issue that was corrected after receiving feedback, was picking the fill and empty times and calculating the flow rates; rather than setting the flow rates and calculating times. The instructor comments were the most helpful in fixing the report, this is what influenced most of the changes. If I had more time to work on the report, I would like to do price comparisons to see if the cost can be optimized with design changes. Extra credit and the experience of the iterative design process would motivate me to make additional changes.

The peer review process was very helpful, and I enjoyed it a lot. I learned a lot about what I needed to address on our group project by looking over the group's I was assigned to. The other students that looked over our project provided constructive and insightful feedback that aided in the improvement of our project. It was also an opportunity to see how someone else approached the same design problem.

David Buonconsiglio: After going over the first draft, we realized that some of our calculations were off, and some were not complete. We went back and reviewed all of our calculations, and repaired what was wrong, along with moving forward and completing the rest of the project. These changes were prompted by both peer-review notes as well as instructor input, which inspired us to take a new look at our work. Having had more experience in the required formulas from class and homework, we recalculated and found ourselves on the correct path. With more time, we might have been able to refine the design even more, possibly finding better materials to work with as well as using 6σ to improve our design. As it is, we are submitting our report as it is at this moment. If this were a proper proposal, with only final data needed, as well as more time, we could have made significant changes to our system, and possibly come up with a system that not only meets the requirements, but saves money as well.

Traveon Williams: The peer review helped me understand problems that our project was missing out on. It helped by comparing it to other groups and other groups giving their advice on how to fix it. At first glance I thought that our project was top of the line. The peer reviews also led us to believing we were on the right path. However, the instructor comments helped us to realize that we had a lot of work to do before the final due date.

Richard Harrell: The peer review and the instructed review were very helpful and eye opening. The instructor review showed that we were not formatting and laying out the project correctly. Based upon reviewing other group and where comment I thought we were doing way better then sad reality that we had no clue what where were doing with the formation form the instructor. Ultimately the instructor review showed us we had a lot of work to do before the final is due.