

Ethan G. Lombos

Professor Hunt

PHIL 355

15 April 2024

Did Facebook engage in Information Warfare?

In the case presented by Madrigal, the focus lies on Facebook's role in the spread of misinformation during the 2016 U.S. presidential election. The platform became a battleground for the dissemination of false information, facilitated by its algorithmic design and lax content moderation policies. Russian operatives exploited these vulnerabilities to manipulate public opinion, exacerbating social divisions and undermining the democratic process. The proliferation of fake news and targeted ads amplified political polarization and sowed doubt in the integrity of the electoral system. Despite warnings from internal sources and external experts, Facebook failed to adequately address these issues, prioritizing profit over ethical responsibility. This case underscores the ethical dilemmas inherent in the intersection of technology and democracy, raising questions about corporate accountability and the regulation of online platforms. In this Case Analysis, I will argue that a consequentialist perspective reveals Facebook's complicity in information warfare due to its failure to prevent the manipulation of its platform for malicious purposes. Furthermore, I will contend that Facebook bears partial responsibility for the election outcome, as its actions contributed to a climate of distrust and misinformation that influenced voter behavior and eroded democratic norms.

One of the main concepts in Prier's work is the potential for "data fighting," which refers to the crucial use of data to achieve military or political goals. Data warring operates in the realm of data and correspondence advancements, employing tactics such as propagandizing, spreading false information, and mental exercises to influence decisions, beliefs, and behavioral patterns. Prier discusses the emerging concept of "data fighting" in the digital age, when online entertainment platforms serve as important hubs for the dissemination of opposing viewpoints and narratives. Under these particular conditions, the dissemination of false information and the management of online discourse turn into potent tools in the pursuit of vital goals.

From a consequentialist point of view, looking at Facebook's reactions to this control considering its suggestions and results is critical. For this situation, Facebook's failure to stop the making of bogus data and unfamiliar interruptions has serious ramifications for the general prosperity of society as well as democratic systems. Facebook set benefit and improvement in front of social obligation and the overall benefit of people in general, empowering its establishment to be weaponized for loathsome purposes and cultivating an environment of disinformation, doubt, and political disturbance.

To look at the moral ramifications of Facebook's activities according to a consequentialist point of view, we ought to consider both vote-based models and the general effect on society. Notwithstanding interior alerts and outer strain, Facebook kept on focusing on client dedication and income development, overlooking its liability to safeguard the authenticity of public talk and democratic methodology. This limited quest for gain subverted public trust in the appointive

framework and prominence based underpinnings, as well as in the stage. Facebook's center convictions were in this manner completely clear: focusing on the assurance of democratic qualities and social thriving over unstable corporate interests.

All things considered, Facebook might have acted all the more definitively and favorable to effectively to battle deception and surprising obstructions. A portion of these activities might have incorporated a more reasonable conveyance of material, straightforward promoting procedures, and coordinated effort with outside specialists and legislative bodies. Facebook could have alleviated the drawn out ramifications for public trust and social union, as well as limited the effect of information fighting on the 2016 discretionary challenge, by focusing on the ethical basic to lessen hurt and maintain upsides of greater part rule. At long last, the legitimate game-plan would have been to focus on the ethical targets of honesty, straightforwardness, and democratic decency over transient monetary benefit — a place that could not have possibly been completely settled by a consequentialist request.

Scott's focal idea of "mechanical affordances" alludes to the requirements and requests inborn in imaginative frameworks that impact and mold human way of behaving and social correspondences. Mechanical affordances recall what progression implies for power designs, connection, and data circulation across society, considering both the expected and maybe negative side results. Scott underlines that it is so essential to comprehend these open doors to think about the moral ramifications of a mechanical game plan and execution overall.

By using Scott's idea of mechanical affordances with regards to the Madrigal case, one can acquire understanding into Facebook's job as a strong virtual diversion stage that essentially impacts political cycles and open talk. The algorithmic plan of the stage, data driven limit concentration, and accentuation on client responsibility make various affordances that can be used for both gainful and negative finishes. Regarding the authority political choice made in the US in 2016, these affordances gave poisonous performers — like Russian specialists — the capacity to become the dominant focal point to proliferate falsehood, enhance troublesome stories, and impact elector conduct.

A consequentialist viewpoint holds that how Facebook's activities are ethically evaluated with regards to these specialized not set in stone by the choices it makes and how they end up. Facebook's inability to stop the abuse of its establishment for information fighting had extensive, lamentable impacts for the flourishing of culture and democratic techniques. Facebook permitted its mechanical affordances to be weaponized by focusing on development and benefit over moral obligation, which brought about far and wide disinformation, doubt, and political division.

By and large, Facebook ought to have acted all the more quickly and definitively to address the unfavorable mechanical affordances of its establishment. Instances of such activities incorporate adjusting content all the more reasonably, utilizing more clear advancement methods, and working couple with outside specialists and administrative bodies to accomplish this objective. Facebook might have diminished the drawn out ramifications for public trust and social connection, as well as the effect of information battling on the 2016 political decision, by focusing on the ethical basic to reduce hurt and maintain rules of larger part rule.

At long last, the legitimate game-plan would have been to focus on the ethical targets of honesty, straightforwardness, and democratic decency over transient monetary benefit — a place that could not have possibly been completely settled by a consequentialist request. Facebook might have so alleviated the adverse consequences of its innovative affordances on fair cycles and public talk while as yet fulfilling its moral commitment to society.

Generally, a consequentialist moral structure joined with Prier's idea of information battling and Scott's idea of mechanical affordances, alongside an examination of Facebook's job in the 2016 U.S. official political choice, uncover the stage's insufficiency to maintain ethical commitments despite huge social damage. Because of Facebook's inclination for benefit over trustworthiness, its mechanical affordances have been controlled for accursed closes, which has prompted the scattering of misleading data and the disintegration of public certainty.

A consequentialist approach features the adverse consequences of Facebook's activities and the ethical basic to focus on social prospering, yet it likewise brings up issues about how successful the ongoing administrative structures are and which job partnerships ought to play in relieving hurt. To resolve these issues, a multi-layered system joining lawful oversight, mechanical progression, and moral thoughtfulness is expected to guarantee the able plan and use of computerized stages.

Besides, this case underscores the more extensive moral difficulties achieved by the blend of development and democratic administration, including the requirement for proactive moves toward safeguard famous strategies and shielding the media from control and deceitfulness. We can all the more likely comprehend the ethical problems that emerge in the old age and endeavor toward arrangements that focus on equity and social thriving by basically

analyzing the ethical ramifications of Facebook's activities concerning information battling and mechanical affordances.