

Personally, I think having a few people trained at a heavy level while investing in primary additional technology. While we need some people to monitor these additional technologies, these extra pieces of technology could provide easier monitoring and craft. It could possibly benefit the future economy of the business as we wouldn't need to pay for employees' wages. While I still believe having well-trained people are needed to be able to make sure these technologies are being used efficiently. With a limit of a budget, I would start with addressing the training of the employees I have because I feel like this would be a base as I need people to monitor the technology I may invest my money into. Making sure these employees are able to follow a framework or policy fluidly provides for less focus on them as I know they will be able to perform the task that is asked. It would be a waste of time if they weren't trained to the degree I desired so providing money in that department would be crucial. Applying these people to the technology is based on what we need before additional technology. After we take that into accountability now we put the remaining funds into technology as this will make our company's work more consistent and more tolerable. Having this part of the balance will be able to notify if something that is known in the past if it's trying to access it again or even possible to catch a new threat before it reaches its goal. While it keeps some of the niche roles of a cyber team could be pushed on these technologies it could provide for our manual trainer workers to look for anything on the backline that may get passed by these security techniques. I would lean towards training my employees rather than in additional technologies while being able to balance the two could be possible if tactics are used to measure the two budgets and work efficiently to make reach peak focus.