

Ian Corcoran

2/10/22

Professor Rinehart-Kim

BIOL 294

Writing Assignment 2

A primary article is an article that uses information gained right from the source. A few examples of primary articles are journals, speeches, photographs, video recordings, etc. Primary articles are the most reliable source of information when writing a paper because the information you are getting is coming from the original research. Primary articles are composed in different sections, introduction, methods, results, discussions, conclusion. These different sections easily lay out all the information the scientist observed in their experiment. Perhaps the most important part of the primary article becoming a legitimate source of scientific information is the peer-review process. The peer-review process gives primary articles an extra form of credibility by having experts in that field review the article.

A review article is a secondary source for information. Review articles often summarize primary articles for the sake of shortening the information into a more concise and easier to understand format. Primary articles can be very heavy on the scientific jargon, especially if it is focused on an obscure subject. So, review articles are very important because they condense the information without losing the overall message of the primary article.

The scientific review process is quite simple but can take a while to be published. The process starts with the scientist finding something they believe is worth studying and perform

understand format. Primary articles can be very heavy on the scientific jargon, especially if it is focused on an obscure subject. So, review articles are very important because they condense the information without losing the overall message of the primary article.

The scientific review process is quite simple but can take a while to be published. The process starts with the scientist finding something they believe is worth studying and perform

experiments. After they complete the experiments, they write a scientific journal and wait for the review of the journal to be accepted or denied for publication. If they don't get published, they are allowed to resubmit until it is considered acceptable.

I believe the Permanent inactivation of Huntington's disease mutation article is the primary document and the Huntington's Disease Mechanisms is the review article. I think the first one is the primary article because of the vast amount of detail in the article. As previously stated, primary articles tend to go into very specific detail and the review articles tend to summarize the primary into smaller chunks. The second article also says in the abstract they use the word review when describing what it paper is going to focus on.