I will be discussing the article “Exploring the Psychological Profile of Cybercriminals: A Comprehensive Review for Improved Cybercrime Prevention”. This article relates to the principles of the social sciences as it discusses and tries to answer the question of what are the personality traits and what are reasons behind cybercriminal behaviors. The research did find that cybercriminals do have traits of narcissism, impulsiveness, and strong computer skills but can differ based on upbringings and cultures. The article's main questions are the following: What psychological traits do cybercriminals share, why do people become cybercriminals, what factors influence these, and how can understanding these help prevent cybercrime. The researchers followed a systematic review. The researchers stated they followed PRISMA guidelines for the conduction and reporting of the results. They conducted 1,200 studies, of which only 45 were successes. The researchers discussed how they used previous studies to find a guideline on what traits are common among cybercriminals. Of the 45 successes they compared them to find similarities that went along with each other and the previous data, so the researchers main data was personality traits and upbringings. This article relates to our lessons as it goes into the concepts of social sciences and uses them to find out similarities of psychology of cybercriminals. How this article relates to marginalized groups is through two factors, one being they studied upbring as a reasoning of cybercriminals being a thing. A second reason is that marginalized groups have less connection to technology and education on technology so they can find themselves falling victim to cybercriminals. This study helped the studies of society as it went into understanding why people become cybercriminals, how we can prevent people from becoming cybercriminals, and gave suggestions on how we can go about a legal aspect of cybercrime and cybercriminals from their psyche.

Article

https://cybercrimejournal.com/menuscript/index.php/cybercrimejournal/article/view/452/133