



# Words as Weapons: The Threat of Misinformation

## Introduction

Misinformation, malinformation, and disinformation (MDM) is a major and difficult to understand issue in the realm of news media and political discourse, especially on social media. According to a 2018 study by the MIT media lab, posts on Twitter (now X) are 70% more likely to be retweeted when falsehoods are included (*MIT Sloan Research About Social Media, Misinformation, and Elections* | MIT Sloan, 2020). In the same article by MIT Sloan, they report that the Russian government used Facebook to propagate false narratives which can be corroborated by CISA (CISA, 2022). The apparent lack of common media literacy as well as outside influence compounds to threaten the integrity of the online information space, political discourse, and thus election security. However, one must ask what exactly the effects of the presence of MDM are on elections and what might be a plausible way to combat it.

## Required Information

Firstly, what is required to know is what MDM is. As prior stated, MDM stands for misinformation, disinformation and malinformation (CISA, 2022). The effects of these are ultimately the same which is threat to information integrity and integrity of political discourse, but the differences are in practice and intent. Most common is misinformation because it is spread by an unwitting party; It is incorrect information that is spread without negative intention due to unawareness. Malinformation and disinformation are spread inherently with negative intention. Malinformation is incorrect information made by distorting correct and truthful information, most commonly by removing context from a statement or statistic. Disinformation is deliberately incorrect information meant to cause damage of some kind.

Secondly, we need to know where MDM originates from and how it spreads. MDM can sprout from multiple places, including foreign governments, vested interest groups, the media,

rumors, and fiction (Lewandowsky et al., 2012). Motivations can be summarized as self-beneficial gain and/or reaffirmation of beliefs and worldview. How MDM spreads are due to multiple factors such as belief bias, over reliance on the internet and ability to fact check oneself, and being caught in a misinformation echo chamber (Ecker, n.d.), which can be further exacerbated by interest based algorithms, such as YouTube's (*Digital Misinformation / Disinformation and Children*, n.d.).

## Frameworks

In addressing the intricate challenge of cyber election security and the pervasive impact of MDM, a multifaceted strategy becomes imperative. This approach entails a blend of proactive and reactive measures aimed at bolstering the very bedrock of electoral processes.

The instigation of media literacy programs stands as a foundational stride. The integration of these programs into educational frameworks equips individuals with the critical acumen to distinguish credible sources, discern misleading information, and make judicious choices. Simultaneously, collaborations with established fact-checking organizations stand as formidable bulwarks against the proliferation of MDM. Swift verification and debunking act as a potent deterrent, arresting the propagation of MDM and preserving the bedrock of public trust (Barthel, 2020; Phillips, 2020).

Transparency and accountability emerge as pivotal facets in this endeavor. Platforms can embrace content moderation policies that encompass robust labeling and the flagging of misleading content. By illuminating the origins and context of information, these platforms allow users to scrutinize information reasonably, fostering a more astute and discerning audience. The recalibration of algorithms to prioritize accuracy and counteract echo chambers offers an additional layer of defense against the onslaught of misinformation.

## Results

The orchestration of these strategic interventions yields tangible and discernible outcomes in the battle against the pernicious influence of misinformation on election security. The implementation of media literacy programs results in a more discerning electorate, endowed with the skill to critically assess information sources and unravel the intricacies of misinformation (MIT Sloan Research About Social Media, Misinformation, and Elections | MIT Sloan, 2020). Collaborative partnerships with fact-checking entities may effectively shorten the reach of misinformation, thereby cultivating and upholding public confidence in the veracity of information.

Platform-based interventions, such as judicious content labeling and circumscribed content propagation, serve as effective deterrents to the dissemination of contested information. By providing essential context and furnishing users with diverse perspectives, these interventions foster the cultivation of well-rounded opinions. Collectively, these initiatives buttress the integrity of election-centric discourse, shielding the democratic process from undue manipulation and influence (The Future of Truth and Misinformation Online | Pew Research Center, 2022).

## Conclusion

In summation, a comprehensive amalgamation of media literacy, fortified fact-checking collaborations, transparent content dissemination, and algorithmic recalibration forms an indispensable bulwark in the quest to safeguard election security within the contours of the digital epoch. The commitment of diverse stakeholders, encompassing governmental bodies, technology enterprises, and civil society, remains pivotal for the efficacious implementation and ongoing enhancement of these strategies. This unified resolve, in turn, buttresses and fortifies the very edifice of electoral integrity.

## References

Babe, F. (2017). Do You Trust Snopes? You Won't After Reading This. *Food Babe*.

<https://foodbabe.com/do-you-trust-snopes-you-wont-after-reading-how-they-work-with-monsanto-operatives/>

Barthel, M. (2020, August 27). *Many Americans believe fake news is sowing confusion* | Pew Research Center. Pew Research Center's Journalism Project.

<https://www.pewresearch.org/journalism/2016/12/15/many-americans-believe-fake-news-is-sowing-confusion/>

CISA. (2022, January 31). *Building Trust through Secure Practices* [Video]. YouTube.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LiCxQJl5wik>

*Combating disinformation and foreign interference in democracies: Lessons from Europe* |

*Brookings*. (2022, March 9). Brookings. <https://www.brookings.edu/articles/combating-disinformation-and-foreign-interference-in-democracies-lessons-from-europe/>

*Digital misinformation / disinformation and children*. (n.d.). UNICEF Office of Global Insight &

Policy. <https://www.unicef.org/globalinsight/stories/digital-misinformation-disinformation-and-children>

Ecker, U. (n.d.). *Where does misinformation come from, and what does it do?* The Conversation.

<https://theconversation.com/where-does-misinformation-come-from-and-what-does-it-do-9885>

Lewandowsky, S., Ecker, U. K. H., Seifert, C. M., Schwarz, R., & Cook, J. (2012).

Misinformation and Its Correction. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, 13(3), 106–131. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1529100612451018>

*MIT Sloan research about social media, misinformation, and elections* | MIT Sloan. (2020, October 5). MIT Sloan. <https://mitsloan.mit.edu/ideas-made-to-matter/mit-sloan-research-about-social-media-misinformation-and-elections>

Nisbet, E. C., Mortenson, C., & Qin, L. (2021). The presumed influence of election misinformation on others reduces our own satisfaction with democracy. *Harvard Kennedy School Misinformation Review, Volume 1*. <https://doi.org/10.37016/mr-2020-59>

Phillips, G. (2020). Is Snopes biased or reliable? 5 Facts about its accuracy. *MUO*. <https://www.makeuseof.com/tag/is-snopes-biased-reliable/>

*The Future of Truth and Misinformation Online* | Pew Research Center. (2022, September 15). Pew Research Center: Internet, Science & Tech. <https://www.pewresearch.org/internet/2017/10/19/the-future-of-truth-and-misinformation-online/>